

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,908	10/23/2001	Edmund Campion	243768081US	8956	
30024	7590 10/27/2004		EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C./G.E. 1100 N. GLEBE RD.			KAMEN, NOAH P		
SUITE 800	DL ND.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON	I, VA 22201		3747		

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	tion No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/001,90	В	CAMPION, EDMUND				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
`		Noah Kam		3747	.,			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 September 2004.							
2a)⊠	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-28 and 31-40</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7,9,10 and 13-15</u> is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) 1,2,16,23,29-35,40 is/are rejected.								
-	7) Claim(s) 8,11,12,17-22,24-28 and 36-39 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
اساره	are subject to restriction and/o	n election re	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	·							
Attachmen	at(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/001,908

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 16, 23, 29-35, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ball et al (5890460) in view of Bernard et al(6450133).

Ball et al shows (figure 11) a power module comprising a container 1100 divided into a first portion 1102 and a second portion 1103, a motor 1104, a generator 1105, a first air circuit including a first air inlet 1130 or 1151 and a first fan 1106 and a first air outlet 1142, a second air circuit including a second air inlet at 1192 and a second fan 1116 and a second air outlet 1128, and a radiator 1126. The module is described as being movable by a forklift. Bernard et al disclose a power module trailerable over public roads. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ball et al to be trailerable over public roads in view of Bernard et al for convenient portability over long distances.

In regard to claims 2, 29, and 30, the size of the container is deemed an engineering design choice dependent on the size of the power plant, highway regulations and cost.

To use gaseous fuel to drive the engine and to size the engine of Ball et al would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art where cost, emissions, and power demand factor in.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/29/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the limitations of a power module housed in a standard

Application/Control Number: 10/001,908

Art Unit: 3747

40 foot ISO and the use of a gaseous fuel engine are not obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

The examiner contends that gaseous fueled engines are notoriously old and furthermore it is well known to provide flexible fuel systems to deliver diesel and/or gaseous fuel (see class /subclass 123/27GE). While Bernard does not disclose a "40 foot ISO", the patent does suggest that it may be of any convenient size suitable for transportation of which a 40 foot ISO container is well known and is by definition able to be moved as a trailer. Furthermore, there is nothing about a gaseous fuel engine in and of itself that requires particular housing dimensions; the engine and system may be made to any size in accordance with the power needs.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8, 11, 12, 17-22, 24-28, and 36-39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 3-7, 9, 10, 13, 14, and 15 are allowed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 3747

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Noah Kamen whose telephone number is 703 308 1945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3747

nk